

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

244

CRWP-1682-2019

Date of Decision : 17.01.2020

Gurmit Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Balbir Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, while pleading that petitioner No.1, who is married to respondent No.4, is having live-in-relationship with petitioner No.2 with mutual consent, have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12 and their relatives.

Vide order dated 07.12.2019 passed by this Court respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Ambala was directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty.

In compliance of above-said order, status report on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 by way of affidavit of Sh. Amit Bhatia, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Naraingarh, Ambala has been filed in the Court today which is taken on record.

In the status report, the deponent has submitted that petitioners are residing in live-in-relationship in the area of Police Station Naraingarh; statements of the private respondents had been recorded; that the family members and the in-laws family members of the petitioner No.1 have no objection if petitioner No.1 resides with petitioner No.2 and the petitioner No.1 was not threatened by her family members or in-laws family members and she has no threat to her life.

This factual position is not disputed by learned Counsel for the petitioners who submits that now there is no apprehension of danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

In view of the above, no further action is required to be taken on the petition, which is disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to seek protection of their life and liberty in case of apprehension of any danger by them from respondents No.5 to 12.

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

17.01.2020
Kothiyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No