

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 52321 of 2019
Decided on: 23.01.2020**

Rajesh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.289 dated 02.12.2014 registered under Sections 332, 353, 186, 394, 427, 170, 171, 420, 201 IPC at Police Station Bawal, District Rewari.

The operative part of the order dated 09.12.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is reproduced as under:-

“...Counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners were facing the trial and were regularly appearing before the trial Court, however, on 02.07.2019 they could not appear before the trial Court on account of noting a wrong date by the petitioners as well as their counsel. It is further argued that the petitioners will surrender before the trial Court within a period of 10 days from today....”

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 09.12.2019, the petitioners have appeared

before the trial Court and have deposited the costs of Rs.5,000/-. It is further submitted that now the case before the trial Court is fixed for 02.06.2020 for recording the evidence of the prosecution.

This fact is not disputed by counsel for the State.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 09.12.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

23.01.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No