

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-8419-2018
Date of Decision:05.02.2020

MULAKH RAJ

....Petitioner

Versus

NAVEEN KUMAR

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate
for the respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present civil revision has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 16.01.2018 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (SD) Ambala as well as order dated 16.11.2018 of learned Additional District Judge, Ambala, whereby the applications under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC for setting aside the ex parte order dated 27.08.2011 and ex parte judgment and decree dated 01.11.2012 were dismissed.

Learned counsel for the respondent has apprised the Court that in terms of the decree dated 16.01.2018 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Ambala, the sale deed has already been executed.

On the last date of hearing, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the

present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

February 05, 2020
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no