

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.51964 of 2019

Date of Decision: 08.01.2020

Nirmal Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. K.S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

At the time of issuance of notice of motion on
06.12.2019, following order was passed:-

*“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory
bail to the petitioner in FIR No.13 dated 17.07.2018
registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC,
13(1) (D) read with 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption
Act at Police Station Vigilance Bureau Range Amritsar,
District Amritsar.*

*Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the
beneficiary of the Will dated 15.10.1971 is one Tilak Raj*

and this Will is subject matter of a civil suit pending between the parties. It is further argued that the suit was instituted in the year 2014 and the FIR was registered in the year 2018. Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the anticipatory bail of the co-accused Tilak Raj already stands allowed vide order dated 05.12.2019 passed in CRM-M No.47675 of 2019.

Notice of motion for 08.01.2020.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of 10 days to join investigation and he shall be released on interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. He shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- 3. He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Shamsher Singh states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has also cooperated. He is not required in further investigation of the case.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, order dated 06.12.2019 is made absolute. However, petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to

do so. He shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under
Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

January 08, 2020

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No