

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1588-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.02.2020

Vivek Mehta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr. Rajiv Vij, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J.

The petitioner seeks release on parole enabling him to carry out repair work in his house.

Learned counsel contends that the parents and brothers of the petitioner have already expired. The house of the petitioner is lying locked since long and no one is residing there. Therefore, he prays for grant of parole for effecting necessary repairs in the said house.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has been convicted for murdering his son. After the incident, his wife has also left the house. At present, no one is residing in the said house.

The sister and brother-in-law of the petitioner were the prime witnesses

against him and there is apprehension that once released, he would cause

harm to them.

Heard.

As per the report of the competent authority, the wife of the petitioner left him on account of killing of his own son. Nobody is residing in the house. The sister and brother-in-law of the petitioner were main witnesses in the prosecution case and strong apprehension of their life and liberty has been expressed.

In this view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer.

Dismissed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

18.02.2020
atulsethi

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No