

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52063-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 11.02.2020**

Jagroop Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 for taking the action on the application of the petitioner and further directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to take the legal action against respondent No. 4 who is threatening the petitioner and his family members and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of the private respondents.

In compliance to the order dated 06.12.2019 passed by this Court, status report by way of affidavit of Narinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Talwandi Sabo, has been filed by the learned State counsel in Court today, which is taken on record. As per the reply, FIR No. 51 dated 22.04.2016 under Section 323, 324, 341, 427, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Talwandi has been registered on the complaint of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that the representation dated 27.11.2019 (Annexure P-2) was taken into consideration but the allegations raised by the petitioner were found to be false. Moreover, as per the police record the petitioner is habitual offender. The FIRs registered against the petitioner are as under:-

1. FIR No. 193 dated 29.07.2017 under Sections 324 and 34 IPC Police Station Talwandi Sabo. Case is under investigation.
2. FIR No. 374 dated 29.11.2019 under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act, registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo. Case is under investigation.
3. FIR No. 188 dated 20.07.2018 under Sections 15, 18, 21, 22 and 25 Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985; Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act and Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act. Case is under investigation.

Learned State counsel has further pointed out that SHO, Talwandi Sabo, has been directed to ensure protection to the petitioner and his wife and to initiate security proceedings against respondent No. 4, if he causes any threat to the petitioner.

In view of the above, no further cause of action is called for in the present petition.

Present petition is dismissed having been rendered infructuous.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

11.02.2020
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No