

**(276) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51840-2019
Date of Decision: 17.02.2020**

Dharampal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ripu Daman, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Thakur B.P.Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Dutta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

...

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in FIR No. 835 dated 20.09.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506 and 34 Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Ballabgarh, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) have prayed for quashing of FIR along with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of Compromise Deed dated 29.11.2019 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the respondents No.2 and 3-complainants have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons/petitioners, vide Compromise Deed dated 29.11.2019 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. The Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad, vide report dated 20.01.2020, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntarily.

4. Respondents No.2 and 3 are represented by their Counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainants have themselves compromised the dispute with the accused persons/petitioners.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 835 dated 20.09.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506 and 34 Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Ballabgarh, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

17.02.2020

Pardeep

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No