

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51793-2019
Date of Decision:26.02.2020**

NAVEEN Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr.Ajay Ghangas, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Tanuj Sharma, Asstt.A.G., Haryana.
Mr.Vijay Dahiya Advocate for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.102 dated 05.04.2018 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code Registered at Police Station Kheripul, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise .

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant- on the allegations that the accused-petitioners, after marriage started demand of dowry in the form of cash and moveable articles like car etc. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Chief Judicial Magistrate at Faridabad, stating that the compromise

arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from anyone and the same is the genuine one. Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.102 dated 05.04.2018 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code Registered at Police Station Kheripul, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner herein.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

26.02.2020
raman

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No