

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.51897 of 2019
Date of Decision: 08.01.2020

Jagtar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

[1]. Petitioner has prayed for grant of interim regular bail on account of deteriorating health status of his father who requires immediate surgical intervention.

[2]. On 09.12.2019, following order was passed:-

“Simply at this stage to determine as to who are the persons in the petitioner’s house and other immediate family as can look after his father for the purpose of taking him to the hospital and getting his 'primary treatment' done, let notice of motion be issued returnable on 16.12.2019.

Mr.APS Gill, learned AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State on the asking of the Court. Let two copies of the petition be handed over to him during the course of

the day.”

[3]. Today, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Darshan Singh orally submitted that father of the petitioner is ailing. He is under treatment and requires surgical intervention.

[4]. According to the petitioner, angioplasty stent has to be done in the PGI for which date will be fixed by the Doctor after due consultation with the petitioner. Even on 06.01.2020, Doctor has observed in respect of heart ailment of father of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon photocopy of medical documents in support of his contentions.

[5]. At this stage, this Court does not wish to observe anything on merits of the case except to appreciate feasibility of granting interim bail to the petitioner on account of health condition of his father. It is not in dispute that father of the petitioner is ailing and requires surgical intervention in the hospital.

[6]. In view of facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to grant interim bail for four weeks to the petitioner subject to his furnishing heavy bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court. After expiry of four weeks from today, the petitioner shall surrender before Superintendent, Central Prison, Patiala. It is made clear that no further extension in interim bail shall be granted to the petitioner in the context of

ailment of his father. The grant of temporary bail in any case, shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case in any manner.

[7]. For the reasons recorded hereinabove, this petition is disposed of.

08.01.2020
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**