

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2020.01.17 16:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-51529-2019
Date of Decision: 17.01.2020

Gurpreet Singh alias Shanky ... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab .. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Ms. Gurjinder, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 11.12.2019, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. on the ground that earlier during the investigation he was found innocent by the police. But later on during the trial he has been summoned as an additional accused in this case by the trial Court under Section 319 Cr.P.c.

There is no denial to this fact from the side of the State counsel.

Adjourned to 17.01.2020.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to be released on interim bail by the trial Court to its own satisfaction subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.”

It has been stated by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that in pursuance of the interim directions, the petitioner has already surrendered before the trial Court, he has been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. and injury under Section 308 IPC has not been attributed to him.

There is no denial to this fact(s) from the side of State counsel.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 11.12.2019, is made absolute. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall furnish fresh bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

17.01.2020

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No