

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.64 of 2020
Date of Decision:9.1.2020**

Neeraj Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

Gyanender

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL):

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is an error in paras No.8 and 9 of the petition in which it has been wrongly mentioned that the lower Court has dismissed the application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act. The error has crept in the headnote as well, whereas this is not true.

For these unsightly mistakes, learned counsel prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition to file a fresh one after removing the patent defects.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

January 9, 2020
neenu

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

No.
No