

220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51218-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 28.01.2020

Angrej Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

...

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.79 dated 17.07.2019 under Section 306 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

The FIR came to be registered at the instance of Manjeet Kaur wife of Jugraj Singh with the allegations that marriage of Kashmir Singh was solemnized with Veerpal Kaur about 6 years ago. Two children took birth out of this wedlock. Veerpal Kaur developed illicit relations with the petitioner –

Angrej Singh. When the said fact came in the knowledge of

Kashmir Singh, he committed suicide by consuming some poisonous substance. Petitioner has been nominated accused along with Veerpal Kaur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in order to constitute an offence under Section 306 IPC, there has to be a concerted effort on behalf of the accused to abet the commission of crime. The prosecution story is discrepant on this material aspect of the case. Similarly situated co-accused Veerpal Kaur, has been granted bail in CRM-M-45424-2019 on 30.10.2019.

On a pointed query of this Court, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surjeet Singh, states that video recording of the petitioner with Veerpal Kaur is also part of challan, but the official present in Court, is not in a position to advance the case of the prosecution by any meaningful stand.

Petitioner is in custody since 31.10.2019. Challan has been presented and charges have been framed. Even three witnesses have been examined by the prosecution. Trial of the case may take some time in its culmination, therefore, at this

stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, I deem it

appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail subject to his
furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of
trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove, would be construed
to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

28.01.2020	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
Pardeep	Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Wether reportable	Yes/No