

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M No.51682 of 2019  
Date of decision : 04.02.2020

Ith Ram @ Sonu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present : Mr. Kunal Vinayak, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab  
for respondent-State.

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)**

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner does not want to continue with the petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn but the trial Court may be directed to expedite the trial.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

In view of the observations made by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Doongar Singh Vs. State of Rajasthan 2018 (1) RCR Criminal 256*, *State of U.P. Vs. Shambhu Nath Singh and others, 2001 (2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 390*, *Hussain and another Vs. Union of India 2017(2) RCR Criminal 312* and *Thana Singh Vs. Central Bureau of Narcotics 2013 (1) R.C.R(Criminal) 861* and the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2019 and no prosecution witness has been examined so far, the trial Court is directed to conclude the prosecution evidence preferably within three months.

**04.02.2020**

Rajeev (rvs)

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)  
JUDGE**