

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.210

CRM-M-51167-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.8.2020

Amit @ Parhlada @ Maas

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.628 dated 11.11.2018, registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind, under Section 379-A IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 07.04.2019. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as 04 more PWs remain to be examined. The complainant and the eye-witness have been examined and they have not supported the prosecution. Thus, the petitioner deserves to be granted regular bail.

Learned State counsel concedes that the petitioner has been in custody since 7.4.2019 and that 04 more PWs remain to be examined. Regarding the complainant and the eye-witness not having supported the prosecution, learned State counsel has no option, but to admit the same as it is a factual statement.

In view of the aforementioned circumstances, I deem it appropriate to allow this petition. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

26.8.2020

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes/ No