

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51205-2019
Date of decision: 28.01.2020**

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. S. S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A. P. S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 165 dated 14.09.2019 registered under Section 61 of the Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station City Dhuri, District Sangrur.

The operative part of the order dated 02.12.2019, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and the police after arrest of Gaurav Sharma, recovered 10 boxes of country-made liquor, which was meant for sale in the State of Haryana. It is further argued that on the basis of the disclosure statement of the aforesaid accused, the name of the petitioner has been surfaced and the recovery already stands effected.

Notice of motion for 17.12.2019.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 02.12.2019, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Pinjore Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 02.12.2019, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

28.01.2020

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No