

101-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.51092 of 2019
Date of Decision : 19.03.2020**

Amit

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Manjeet S. Dalal, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate
for the Petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
assisted by S.I. Jaivir Singh
for the Respondents/State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of the State has already been filed on 18th December, 2019, in which it has been mentioned that the presence of the Petitioner in Red Bishop Tourist Complex, is recorded in the CCTV footage on the evening of the date of alleged occurrence and in early morning hours following that.

2. Ld. Counsel for the State on instructions submits that after verification, it has been found that the Petitioner was actually not present at the spot where the offence was committed although he is also claimed to be part of conspiracy to commit such offence.

3. Be that as it may, in view of the contents disclosed in the Reply filed on behalf of the State, and the fact that from his side the

Petitioner has filed his own set of Documents in connected CRM-M No.4081 of 2020, no further order needs to be passed in the present Petition, which is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

March 19, 2020
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No