

226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.1290 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:24.11.2020

GEETA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Maneet Kumar Arya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Bharat Julka, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they have married each other against the wishes of parents and relatives of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 27.11.2019 (Annexure P-3) was made on behalf of petitioner No.1 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 27.11.2019 (Annexure P-3) made on behalf of petitioner No.1 and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, all the pending applications also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

November 24, 2020

Jyoti-T Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No