

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-55278-2019
Date of decision-26.02.2020**

Simranjeet Singh @ Happy

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Deepak Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner-Simranjeet Singh @ Happy has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C read with Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 16.11.2019 in case FIR No.55 dated 02.06.2019 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 307, 447 and 302 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sector-13-17, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the concession of regular bail was obtained by respondent No.2 by misleading the Court. He further submits that respondent No.2 was aggressor who alongwith his co-accused caused injuries to the petitioner and his father and fired gunshots.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner/complainant does not dispute this fact that the said concession was

never misused by the accused. It is also not disputed by learned counsel that no specific injuries and role has been attributed to the respondent No.2.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any valid reason to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C.

Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

26.02.2020

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No