

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.1197 of 2019

DATE OF DECISION: JANUARY 10, 2020

PALKI AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. YASEEN SETHI, ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER.
MS. MONIKA JALOTA, DAG, PUNJAB.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty and respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in their married life.

On 4.12.2019, learned State counsel was directed to find out the existence of alleged threat. In response to the said order, status report by way of affidavit of Dharam Paul (PPS), Assistant Commissioner of Police Model Town, Jalandhar on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed in Court today. Copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioners. As per the reply, statements of respondents No.4 and 6 have been recorded, wherein they have raised no objection to the marriage of the petitioners. Statement of respondent No.5-Seema, mother of the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.6-Dinesh, brother of the petitioner No.1 are annexed as Annexures R3/T and R4/T, respectively. Respective statements

of the petitioners have also been recorded wherein they stated that they do not require any police protection.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the alleged threat has vanished and the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as infructuous.

January 10, 2020
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No