

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2020.01.09 16:33
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-296-2020

Date of Decision: 08.01.2020

Raj Kumar

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Robert Kanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is to the effect that he is apprehending threat to his life and liberty at the instance of respondent No.4 and further arrest of respondent No. 4 is not being effected.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner, in accordance with law. Needless to say that instructions issued in *Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar & another (2014) 8 SCC 273* be also looked into during the course of investigation.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

08.01.2020

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No