

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-50371-2019 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 21.05.2020

MANISHA

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.196 dated 23.05.2019, under Sections 302, 452, 109 read with Section 34 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that she had exhorted other accused who are her close relatives to fire a shot at the deceased. The petitioner was stated to be standing in the street at the time of the occurrence. She is 26 years old lady and is in custody for about a year. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions received through email from ASI Prem Parkash, states that 1 out of 23 prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner, who is 26 years old lady, is only alleged to have exhorted the other accused to fire a shot, she is in custody for about a year, the COVID-19 pandemic, she is not involved in any other case and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

21.05.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No