

230+231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: 16.03.2020**

**1. CRM-M No.50517 of 2019**

Amit

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**2. CRM-M No.52202 of 2019**

Manjeet

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present:** Mr. R.S. Arya, Advocate  
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-50517-2019)

Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-52202-2019)

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Subham Tyagi, Advocate  
for the complainant.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

Prayer in these petitions is for grant of regular bail to the petitioners namely Amit and Manjeet in FIR No.1049 dated 03.10.2018 registered under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act (Section 326 IPC added later) at Police Station Chandnibagh, District Panipat.

Counsel for the petitioners have argued that one of the co-accused of the petitioners namely Pardeep has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 04.10.2019

passed in CRM-M No.41910 of 2019. It is further submitted that both the present petitioners are in custody since 07.10.2019 and 30.10.2018.

Counsel for the petitioners have further argued that out of 17 PWs, 14 PWs have already been examined including the complainant. Lastly, it is submitted that as per the opinion of the doctor, the injuries were declared simple in nature and therefore, considering the custody of both the petitioners, which is more than 01 year and 05 months, they may be granted the concession of regular bail. It is also argued that to show their *bona fide*, the petitioners are ready to pay a compensation of Rs.25,000/- each to the injured/victims without prejudice to their right of defence.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position but opposed the prayer for bail.

On the other hand, counsel for the complainant has also opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioners on the ground that as many as 15 injuries were caused to the injured/victim.

Without commenting anything on merits of the case, considering the fact that the petitioners are in custody for the last more than 01 year and 05 months; out of 17 prosecution witnesses only 14 PWs have already been examined; the co-accused of the petitioners has already been granted the concession of regular bail and the conclusion of the trial will take long time, these petitions are allowed and the petitioners namely Amit and Manjeet, are directed to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate and on payment of compensation of Rs.25,000/- each to be paid to the injured/victim

before the trial Court.

However, it will be open for the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail of the petitioners, in case they are found involved in any other case or misusing the concession of bail, in any manner.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

16.03.2020  
*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No