

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

285

CRM-M-50556 of 2019

Date of decision : 28.01.2020

Sunny

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Mr.Susheel Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

Ramendra Jain, J. (Oral)

Through instant 1st petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case arising from FIR No.406 dated 27.10.2019 registered under Sections 279/ 336 IPC and 3/8 of the Punjab Prohibition of Cow Slaughter Act, 1955 & 3/181 of MV Act (added lateron), U/s 3/5/13 of Haryana Prohibition of Cow Shelter Act No.20 of 2015 (added subsequently) at Police Station Kundli, Sonapat District Sonapat.

According to the prosecution, the petitioner along with two persons namely, Nasim and Shahjan was apprehended carrying two cows and a calf in the vehicle for the purpose of slaughtering on 27.10.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case because he was not the owner of the recovered two cows and a calf. He was simply a driver of the vehicle and hired for transporting the cows and calf. He is in custody since 27.10.2019. Conclusion of trial may take a sufficient long time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner any more in jail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Considering overall facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Consequently, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail during pendency of trial, if not required in any other case, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

[RAMENDRA JAIN]
JUDGE

28.01.2020
sd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No