

**CRM-30702-2020 in/and
CRM-M-50042-2019
Date of Decision: 18.12.2020**

**Karanbir Singh through her Attorney Smt. Manjinder Kaur
.....Petitioner**

Vs.

**State of Punjab and another
.....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Attri, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Sukhveer Brar, Advocate,
for respondent no. 2-complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM-30702-2020

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

By this application, advancement of the date of hearing in the accompanying petition is sought, which is otherwise fixed for 01.02.2021.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no. 1-State.

Ms. Sukhveer Brar, Advocate, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent no. 2 and does not oppose the application being allowed.

In view of the above, the application is allowed and with the consent of both learned counsel for the parties, the matter is taken on board for

hearing today itself.

CRM-M-50042-2019

By this petition, the petitioner seeks quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no. 2, of FIR no.47, dated 28.03.2017, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural), for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323/324/34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Pursuant to the order dated 27.11.2019, a report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, has been received, stating therein that statements of respondent no. 2, Ranjit Singh, as also of the attorney of the petitioner (stated to be his mother Smt. Manjinder Kaur), were recorded by that court, in respect of the compromise reached between them and as per the assessment of that court, the compromise has been entered into of the free will of the parties without any pressure or coercion.

It has further been stated that the accused has never been declared to be a Proclaimed Offender.

The report further states that though there are four accused who have been arraigned as accused in the FIR, however, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact the FIR qua the other three persons, i.e. Sawinder Singh, Parvertan Singh and Sarwan Singh, already stands quashed, vide an order passed by this court on 19.11.2019, upon them having filed CRM-M-30050 of 2019, with a copy of that order having been sent to the Reader of this court by learned counsel for the petitioner by way of a 'Whatsapp communication'.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 2, i.e. the complainant in the FIR, also admits to the above said fact.

That being so, also looking at the nature of offences involved, with the matter having been amicably settled between the parties, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 47, dated 28.03.2017, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural), for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323/324/34 of the IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

December 18, 2020
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE
Yes
No.