

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 38186 of 2018

Date of Decision: January 20, 2020

Prem Shankar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG Haryana

Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

-.-

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because in the detailed marks certificate of B.A. (4th semester examination) the internal assessment marks in the subject of English have been wrongly reflected. According to the petitioner, he obtained 18 marks in internal assessment whereas the detailed marks certificate records only 2 marks.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that according to resolution No. 5 passed on 22.11.2008 by the Executive Council of the University, internal assessment of the marks can not be corrected after expiry of 30 days of the declaration of the result.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that according to Annexures P-1 and P-4, it is evident that as per the record of respondent No. 3, the petitioner obtained 18 marks in the internal assessment. If a delay has been caused

that can not be attributed to the petitioner and, thus, his marks be corrected.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to conduct an enquiry on the basis of the record of respondent No. 3 and in case it is found that the error was made by respondent No. 3, the internal assessment marks be corrected within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 20, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No