

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 49604 of 2019
Decided on: 13.01.2020**

Darbara Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. H.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in complaint case No.133 dated 27.01.2015 filed under Section 3(x)(1) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, pending in the Court of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patti, District Tarn Taran.

The operative part of the order dated 22.11.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is a delay of over two months in making the complaint. The complainant did not file any complaint before the police. He also contends that the complaint is the outcome of the political rivalry between the parties and the complainant is the elected Sarpanch while the petitioner belongs to the rival party. He also contends that the allegations do not constitute a prima facie offence

under the SC & ST Act against the petitioner. He also contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other case....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 22.11.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and he has been released on interim bail.

Counsel for the State has not disputed the aforesaid fact.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed subject to the statement made by counsel for the petitioner and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 22.11.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

13.01.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No