

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-33379-2019

Date of Decision:-05.02.2020.

Rambir Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. S.K. Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gehna Vaishnavi, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Avinash Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)**

Mr. Vishal Yadav, learned counsel, entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.6 and filed his memorandum of appearance. The same is taken on record.

This writ petition was filed assailing the action of the Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthaan, New Delhi, in not considering the petitioner's candidature for the post of Assistant Professor Sahitya. The further prayer was to restrain the Sansthaan from appointing the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent to the said post.

While so, Mr. S.K. Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioner, would stated that a mistake occurred in the drafting of the petition as well as

the prayer, inasmuch as the petitioner and the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent aspired for the

post of Assistant in the Sansthaan and not the post of Assistant Professor Sahitya. In the light of this error and given the prayer of the petitioner, as framed, this writ petition is unworthy of consideration on merits.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. This order shall however not preclude the petitioner from filing a fresh case in relation to the correct post.

No order as to costs.

**(SANJAY KUMAR)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 05, 2020.**

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.