

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48904-2019
Date of decision-29.01.2020**

Dilbagh Singh @ Pappu and othersPetitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have prayed for grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.93 dated 23.08.2019 registered under Section 364 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Jhabal, Tarn Taran. The petitioners are in custody since their arrest on 23.08.2019.

As per the prosecution, the FIR was registered on the statement of Harpal Singh @ Bhallu, wherein it was disclosed by him that his son namely, Gurpreet Singh was receiving phone calls from unknown girl for the last few days and had conveyed her desire to marry Gurpreet Singh. On the date of occurrence, the father accompanied his son to meet her at the given time, however, the girl was not found there when his son was standing at the said place. One Bolero bearing No.PB-11-BA-9490 was parked at pucca road outside the hospital wherein accused persons, Dilbagh Singh @ Pappu,

Ajit Singh @ Babblu and Jatinder Singh @ Kaka were present. According to the complainant, these three boys caught hold his son and put him in their vehicle and fled away towards village Mianpur. On these broad allegations, FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the parties were known to each other as Gurpreet Singh was infact their friend and for fun, a prank was played. He submits that the parties have entered into a compromise and the petitioners are no more required for any interrogation who are confined in judicial custody.

Learned State counsel assisted by ASI Surinder Singh does not dispute this fact that the parties have entered into a compromise and the investigation is almost complete.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the opinion that trial is likely to consume considerable time, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars. Resultantly, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioners be released on regular bail subject to their furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

The petition is allowed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

29.01.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No