

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

C.W.P.No.33788 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 20.02.2020

Om Parkash

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Akashdeep Sethi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Punchhi, Advocate,
for the respondents.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged order dated 4.4.2019 passed by the learned Financial Commissioner (Appeals) whereby his revision petition against the *sanad taksim* has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was proceeded against *ex parte* illegally and, thus, the partition proceedings carried out behind his back are illegal.

A perusal of the order of the Financial Commissioner shows that issue of non-service was not raised before him. Thus, the petitioner is not entitled to raise this issue before the writ Court. Moreover, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show that in fact he was never served by the Assistant Collector, Ist Grade, in the partition proceedings and that the order by which he was proceeded against *ex parte*

was illegal. Thus, the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner cannot be accepted.

There is no merit in the writ petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

February 20, 2020
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No