

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-48820 of 2019

Date of Decision: May 22, 2020

Jashan

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Lathwal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 111 dated 13.03.2018 registered under Sections 302, 120-B, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 18.03.2018 and a period of more than two years has elapsed. However, the trial has not progressed beyond examination of a solitary prosecution witness out of a total of 31 prosecution witnesses. Similarly situated co-accused Akash has been granted regular bail vide order dated 26.03.2019 passed in CRM-M-3834 of 2019. Thus, the petitioner also deserves to be treated similarly.

Learned State counsel is unable to controvert any of the contentions made on behalf of counsel for the petitioner.

Thus, keeping in view the principle of parity as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail

and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

May 22, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No