

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM No.13235 of 2020 in/and
CRM-M-48664 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: June 17, 2020

Lakhwinder @ Aman and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. B.K. Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Dhuriwala, Sr.DAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-13235-2020

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Hearing in the bail petition is preponed and taken up today.

CRM-M-48664-2019

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.71 dated 18.09.2019, under Sections 307, 341, 324, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Behram, District SBS Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners were taken into custody in the present case on 19.09.2019. It is argued that

the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that investigation is complete, as the challan has already been presented and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioners are entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioners, however, does not dispute the fact that investigation is complete, as the challan has been presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take sufficient time and in view of the facts that the petitioners have been in custody since 19.09.2019 and that investigation is complete, as the challan has already been presented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioners behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioners are directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

June 17, 2020

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No