

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48660-2019

Date of Decision: 22.01.2020

SATYAVEER SINGH @ SATBIR SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Chopra, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 0066 dated 03.06.2019, under Sections 363, 366-A, 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 4/17 of the Protection Of Children from Sexual Offences Act 2012, registered at Police Station Women Police Station Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 18.06.2019. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as it is evident from the statement of the prosecutrix recorded in the said proceedings wherein she has not supported the case as set up by herself.

It is also contended that as the challan has already been presented and out of 19 witnesses, only 4 have been examined and conclusion of trial

will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on

regular bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to grant of regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 18.06.2019 and all the material witnesses have since been examined, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no effect on the merits of the case.

January 22, 2020
Mehak

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No