

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 48471 of 2019
Date of Decision: 22.09.2020

Jagjeet Singh

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.0198 dated 29.08.2019 registered under Section 22-C of NDPS Act at Police Station Kalanwali, District Sirsa.

420 intoxicant tablets were allegedly recovered from the petitioner. It is a case of chance recovery.

On 24.08.2020, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to rely upon some case law to show that even in case of chance recovery, compliance of Section 50 of NDPS Act is mandatory.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has admitted the position of law that in case of chance recovery, compliance of Section 50 of NDPS Act is not mandatory.

Keeping in view the recovery of contraband which falls under commercial category, I do not find any ground to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

This petition is devoid of merits and the same is accordingly, dismissed.

September 22, 2020
Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No