

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-47993-2019
Date of decision: 15.01.2020**

Sarthak @ Shyam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 349 dated 16.06.2019, registered under Sections 397 and 120-B of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station City Jind, District Jind.

As per the allegations in the FIR, complainant Raju stated that he used to supply disposable goods to shops and on 16.06.2019 at about 09.00 AM, he came to Jind from Rohtak for the purpose of collecting money from the shops and after collecting a sum of Rs. 1.5/2 Lakh from the shops, he was going to Bus Stand, Jind by sitting in a rickshaw. When he reached near Rani Talab T-point, two young boys came on a motorcycle and snatched the bag containing the said money by firing a gun shot which hit him on his leg. The accused persons, after snatching the said bag, ran away from the spot and the complainant were taken to Govt. Hospital, Jind, from where, he was referred to PGIMS, Rohtak. Later on, the accused were

arrested.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.06.2019 and it will take some time in conclusion of trial.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jasbir, has opposed the prayer of the petitioner on the ground that the pistol, which was used for commission of offence, was recovered from petitioner Sarthak @ Shyam along with Rs. 25,319/- and Rs. 30,000/-, snatched by him on two different dates.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of the custody certificate dated 17.11.2019, further submitted that petitioner is involved in one more case/FIR No. 104 dated 22.02.2019, registered under Sections 380 and 457 of the IPC at Police Station City Jind, in which he is in custody.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the serious allegations against the petitioner and also in view of the fact that petitioner has fired gun shot which hit on the leg of the complainant and he is also involved in one more case, I find no ground to grant him the concession of regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

15.01.2020

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No