

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7106 of 2019

Date of decision: 13.02.2020.

Gursharanjit Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

Balbir Kapoor @ Balbir Kaur

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. H.S. Dhandi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner/tenant has challenged two orders passed on 27.08.2019 by the Rent Controller, Ludhiana, whereby the petitioner/tenant has been directed to produce the original agreement to sell dated 17.03.2001 and the respondent/landlady has been allowed to examine the handwriting expert with regard to the signatures on the agreement to sell.

Learned counsel for the petitioner/tenant contends that the impugned orders passed by the Rent Controller are unsustainable in law and the same deserve to be set aside.

Heard.

The respondent/landlord, who is stated to be a 73 years old NRI lady, had filed a petition for eviction of the petitioner/tenant from the demised premises under Section 24 (3) of the Punjab Rent Act, 1995.

In the written statement, the petitioner/tenant had stated that his wife had purchased the house through power of attorney after having entered

into an agreement to sell with the respondent/landlady on 17.03.2001 for a total sale consideration of ₹4,00,000/-.

Therefore, when the petitioner/tenant had raised the issue of the house in dispute having been purchased by his wife, two separate applications were moved by the respondent/landlady for production of the agreement to sell dated 17.03.2001 and the examination of the handwriting expert which have been rightly allowed by the Rent Controller.

Consequently, I do not find any illegality in the impugned orders passed by the Rent Controller which would warrant interference by this Court while exercising revisional jurisdiction. The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

13.02.2020
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No