

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CROCP-64-2019

Date of Decision: 12.02.2020

Court on its own Motion

... Petitioner

Vs.

Syed Shakil, Police Station City Jagraon, Ludhiana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : Mr. Shireesh Gupta, Sr. D.A.G. Punjab alongwith
Contemner/ASI-Syed Shakil.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The instant contempt petition has been registered in the light of observations recorded by the learned Single Judge of this Court in his order dated 04.10.2019 passed in CRM-M-41942-2019.

It transpires that in the proceedings of the aforementioned criminal miscellaneous seeking grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.292 dated 22.11.2018 registered under Sections 307, 323, 325, 148, 149 IPC to the accused, the respondent-contemner is alleged to have mislead the Court stating that nick name of the petitioner is Pavvi Panda but subsequently stated that Pavvi Panda is not the petitioner herein rather Pavvi Panda is in custody, thus, inviting a reference for the present contempt proceedings.

Upon notice, an affidavit dated 06.02.2020 has been filed by the respondent-contemner (ASI Syed Shakil) stating in paras-2 to 5 as under:

“2. That during investigation accused Ranjit Singh @ Pavi Panda son of Gurcharan Singh r/o Kothe Fateh Din Jagraon, Karamjit Singh @ Kali s/o Swaran Singh r/o Chotta Bhampura and Sandeep Singh, Balraj Singh @ Baji were arrested in this case.

3. *That on receipt of notice of petition No. CRM-M-41942-2019 filed by Ranjit Singh son of Sirjit Singh the deponent visited this Hon'ble Court for producing record under the impression that Ranjit Singh @ Pavi Panda who was arrested in this case, has filed the aforesaid bail application in this petition before this Hon'ble Court. So the deponent assisted and explained the facts of this case relating to accused Ranjit Singh @ Pavi Panda to the concerned law officer who had appeared on behalf of State in this Hon'ble Court on that day.*
4. *That during the course of hearing the deponent came to know that actually Ranjit Singh son of Surjit Singh r/o Bhamipura Kalan has filed the present bail application in this Hon'ble Court and not Ranjit Singh @ Pavi Panda. So the deponent had inadvertently explained the facts of accused Ranjit Singh @ Pavit Panda without any malafide intention.*
5. *That when the deponent realized his mistake, he immediately again appeared before this Hon'ble Court on the same day and brought the mistake to this Hon'ble Court tendered an apology and verbally prayed this Hon'ble Court to forgive him."*

Even at the time of hearing today, respondent-contemner has expressed his regret of such a mistake. We accept the oral explanation and also the written unconditional apology.

Accordingly, Rule is discharged and the present contempt petition is disposed of.

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

12.02.2020
rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No