

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 47562 of 2019
Decided on: 14.01.2020**

Ravinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0276 dated 11.10.2019, registered under Section 420 IPC at Police Station Kotwali Patiala, District Patiala.

The operative part of the order dated 21.11.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, it is stated that the complainant had given some amount to four persons for getting a job in the Railways Department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that though the details of the amount are given in the FIR, however, no date, time or month is given as to when the amount was paid.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record photocopies of three pronotes pertaining to the years 2014 and 2016 which show that petitioner and

complainant had some dealing and the petitioner had taken loan from the complainant for his domestic purposes.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner is ready to join investigation. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmail Gill, submits that after an inquiry, conducted by the DSP, the present FIR was registered and the petitioner, along with Charanpreet Chaudhary, has executed the said receipts, in which it is stated that the amount was taken by him as loan for some domestic purposes and the receipts were signed by the petitioner.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the contents of the receipts contradict the allegations levelled in the FIR, wherein it is stated that the amount was given for procuring a job....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 21.11.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 21.11.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

14.01.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No