

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : 09.01.2020

VATAP No.207 of 2018 (O&M)

M/s Sanden Vikas (India) Pvt. Ltd. Appellant

versus

State of Haryana & anr. Respondents

VATAP No.208 of 2018 (O&M)

M/s Sanden Vikas (India) Pvt. Ltd. Appellant

versus

State of Haryana & anr. Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present : Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant (s).

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of above mentioned two appeals.
Since common questions of law and facts are involved therein, they are
being decided by this common order.

2. At the very outset it was pointed out that one of us (Avneesh
Jhingan, J.) had been counsel for the appellant in the aforesaid appeals.

Counsel for the appellant states that these matters are covered

by the decision of a co-ordinate Bench passed in ***VATAP No.71 of 2012 decided on 23.05.2018 titled as M/s Enkay (India) Rubber Company Private Limited, Gurgaon Vs. State of Haryana*** which has remanded the similar cases.

3. Learned State counsel has stated that she has no objection if the matters are heard by this Bench and further accepted the fact that similar matters had been remanded back to the Tribunal.

4. In the circumstances, the appeals stand disposed of in the same terms as in ***VATAP No.71 of 2012***.

5. Since the main cases have been decided, the pending C.M. Applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

January 09, 2020
pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No