

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-47260 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 15, 2020

Suraj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. J.S. Bedi, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sunil Sihag, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.119 dated 14.03.2019, registered under Sections 148, 149, 302, 506, 120-B of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat.

Mr. J.S. Bedi, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner, assisted by Mr. Sunil Sihag, Advocate has raised various arguments regarding the false implication of the petitioner and prays for grant of regular bail, which is opposed by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, who *inter alia* would submit that the matter has been investigated and the challan has been presented.

On this statement, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner would submit that though the challan has been presented as far back as 13.06.2019, however, as on date, charges have not been framed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also perused the paper book and the documents annexed thereto and do not find it a fit case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner at the present moment, considering the fact that charges are yet to be framed.

At this stage, Mr. Sunil Sihag, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to approach the court once the charges are framed.

Ordered accordingly.

September 15, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No