

CWP No.3861 of 2020
Date of Decision: 13.02.2020

Jagdish Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAURPresent: Mr. Ramender Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.**NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

The present revision petition is filed against the order dated 20.07.2011 and 22.12.2017, on the ground that the no opportunity was granted to the petitioner to defend himself.

The petitioner had earlier also filed writ petition bearing CWP No.16351 of 2013 before this Court, which was disposed of, vide order dated 13.02.2017, with liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal before the Appellate Authority with a further direction that the said Appellate Authority would dispose of the same within one month by way of passing a speaking order.

The petitioner is stated to have filed his appeal on 10.03.2017. The only ground raised herein is that although he had replied to the charge-sheet but no chance was given to him to seek advise from an Advocate.

However, a perusal of the impugned order dated 22.12.2017 shows that while dealing with the said argument, it was held that the departmental proceedings were totally different to that of a criminal case. In any case, there is nothing to show that the petitioner ever requested for the

help of an Advocate. Moreover, it is evident from the impugned order that the petitioner had admitted that all the fraudulent appointment letters were dealt by him and he also admitted his signatures on those fraudulent appointment letters. Nothing has been placed before this Court to show otherwise.

No other argument was raised.

Dismissed accordingly.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

13.02.2020
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No