

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204A

CRM-M-46486 of 2019

Date of decision : 18.08.2020.

Randeep Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.84 dated 12.08.2019, under Sections 21, 22, 29 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Banga, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR against the petitioner are that 50 grams of heroin (non-commercial quantity) and 13 injections of Buprenorphine (2 ml. each) were recovered from her. He further contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and earlier also, she was falsely implicated in two cases whereby she had been acquitted. She was also implicated in another case bearing FIR No.63 dated 17.03.2018, under Section 21 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Khanna, wherein she had been granted anticipatory bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-22118 of 2018 on 09.05.2019 as she was not named in the FIR and no recovery had been effected from her in that case. He also contends that the petitioner is 30 year old lady and is in custody for over a year.

Learned State counsel, however, contends that the petitioner was involved in three cases out of which she had been acquitted in two and one aforementioned FIR No.63 is pending against her. The petitioner was arrested at the spot in this case. He also contends that although charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is a lady; she is in custody for over a year; the recovery of Buprenorphine is marginally above the commercial quantity; the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 18, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No