

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRM-M-46122-2019
Date of decision: 16.01.2020**

Rajbir Kaur @ Rajwinder KaurPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Ms. Gursharan K. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present (first) petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, "Cr.P.C") for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0123 dated 27.04.2019 registered under Section 306 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.

This Court vide order dated 13.11.2019 had granted the interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner with direction to join the investigation.

Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and opposed the bail application in terms of the reply filed today in Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner has joined the investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from her and her

custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the investigating officer, has acknowledged that in compliance with order dated 13.11.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and submitted that her custodial interrogation is not required for effecting any recovery.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the considered view that the petitioner deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, the petition is allowed and order dated 13.11.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation again if and as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions enumerated in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C., failing which the protection of anticipatory bail order shall not be available to her.

16.01.2020

Vinay

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No