

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

(I) **CRM-M-46219-2019 (O&M)**
Date of Decision:- 2.3.2020

Sandeep Singh	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab		... Respondent

(II) **CRM-M-46196-2019 (O&M)**

Simranjeet Singh	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab		... Respondent

(III) **CRM-M-48787-2019 (O&M)**

Surjit Singh	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab		... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mrs. Gursharan K. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-46219-2019.

Dr. Rau P.S.Girwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-46196-2019.

Mr. Kuljit Singh Bal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-48787-2019.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.

1. This order shall dispose of the above mentioned three petitions wherein petitioners Sandeep Singh, Simranjit Singh and Surjit Singh, have approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against them vide FIR No.81, dated 18.8.2019, Police Station Ramdas, District Amritsar Rural, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC.
2. The FIR in question was registered at the instance of Major Singh wherein it has been alleged that his son Jaskaran Singh was unemployed and was looking for a job and that he came to know through his relative Jagjit Singh that Surjit Singh knew some important persons in the Government and could arrange for employment. The complainant met Surjit Singh who represented that he could get complainant's son recruited as an Inspector in Food and Supply Department and that although he normally takes an amount of ₹15 lakhs for the said job but he would secure employment for his son for an amount of ₹11.30 lakhs. It is alleged that at the time of said representation, a friend of Surjit Singh namely Sandeep was also present there and that they asked the complainant, his son Jaskaran and Jagjit Singh to come to Chandigarh and where they got the complainant introduced with one Simranjit Singh Randhawa while referring to him as A.O.S.D./Principal Secretary and assured that the work would be done. It is further alleged that despite the said assurances, the complainant's son was not got employed although the complainant had given the amount which the accused had asked for

to his relative Jagjit Singh who had further given the same to Surjit Singh through Bank.

3. It is further the case of prosecution that even Jagjit Singh had submitted a complaint against Surjit Singh, his wife Sandeep Kaur, Sandeep Singh, Pargat Singh and Simranjit Singh to the effect that Surjit Singh had even defrauded him while holding out a representation that he would get him employed in FCI godown and had taken an amount of ₹3 lakhs from him. He further stated therein that Surjit Singh had represented that he could arrange for the employment through Simranjit Singh Randhawa stated to be OSD to CM. He further stated that he had also told about Surjit Singh to his relative Major Singh who was also interested in getting his son Jaskaran Singh employed and being taken in by the representation of Surjit Singh he had also passed on the amount as had been demanded by Surjit Singh. He further stated that one Pargat Singh was also present with Simranjit Singh when they were introduced to him. It is further alleged that subsequently another of their relative namely Amar Singh also paid an amount of ₹1.5 lakhs to Surjit Singh and Pargat Singh for getting a job of Security Guard in FCI godown out of which ₹50,000/- was given in cash and the remaining was transferred in the account of Surjit Singh. Jagjit Singh further alleged that he talked to Surjit Singh for job of Ramandeep Kaur and Surjit Singh gave assurance that he will get Ramandeep Kaur recruited as Inspector in Food Supply Department and demanded ₹7 lakhs. He, Ramandeep Kaur, Jaskaran Singh, Surjit Singh and Sandeep Singh

went to Chandigarh where they met Simranjit Singh and Pargat Singh. It is alleged that they transferred an amount of ₹12.80 lakhs in the bank account of Surjit Singh and remaining amount was given in cash and out of that an amount of ₹50,000/- was given to Sandeep Kaur w/o Surjit Singh.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that they have falsely been implicated in the present case and that there is no evidence worth credence to connect them with the alleged passing of money by the complainant to the accused. It has further been submitted that in any case since investigation is complete, further detention of the petitioners are not required for any useful purpose.
5. Opposing the petition(s), learned State counsel has submitted that since petitioner-Surjit Singh is the main accused who had been holding out false representations to the complainants for securing jobs for them and had accepted huge amounts and that the other petitioners namely Simranjit Singh and Sandeep Singh had apparently joined hands with him, no case for grant of bail is made out. It has however, been informed that the petitioners have been behind bars since the last about 6 months and that challan already stands presented.
6. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court. Without commenting anything as regards merits of the case and while bearing in mind that the petitioners have been behind bars since the last more than 6 months and that conclusion of trial is likely to consume time, further detention of the petitioners will not serve any

useful purpose. The petitions, as such, are accepted and it is ordered that the petitioners be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

March 2, 2020
mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No