

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.31458 of 2019
Date of Decision: 04.09.2020

Joy George and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Mehta, Addl. Standing counsel
for the respondents-UT, Chandigarh.

DAYA CHAUDHARY J. (ORAL)

Case has been taken up through video conferencing in view
of the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

While hearing the arguments of learned counsel for both the
parties, it has been noticed that a representation as filed by the petitioners
on 22.07.2019 (Annexure P-3) is still pending.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the decision
on the said representation will be taken as per the direction issued by this
Court.

Keeping in view the fact that the said representation is still
pending, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the
respondents to take necessary action on the pending representation made
by the petitioners on 22.07.2019 (Annexure P-3), in accordance with law,

within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the petitioners are still aggrieved by the decision taken by the respondents, they will be at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy. However, status quo with regard to the possession shall be maintained till the decision is taken on the representation.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

September 04, 2020
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No