

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CRM-M No.46349 of 2019
Date of Decision:16.1.2020**

SANJAY AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr.Jagjit Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Gurmeet Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 0148 dated 7.7.2019, registered under Sections 148/ 149/ 285/ 323/ 336/ 506 IPC and under Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa.

At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 31.10.2019, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has been amicably compromised between the petitioners and the complainant in the FIR and in fact CRM-M-36107 of 2019 has also been filed

seeking quashing of the FIR itself, on the basis of such compromise, which is to come up for hearing on 11.12.2019.

A copy of the order passed in the aforesaid petition has been annexed as Annexure P-4 with the petition.

Notice of motion be issued to the respondent, returnable on 11.12.2019.

In the meanwhile, upon the petitioners joining investigation, in case they are sought to be arrested, they would be admitted to interim bail, on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Illaqa Magistrate. They shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

If the arresting officer does not join the petitioners in investigation, they would appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate immediately, who would then summon the arresting officer and direct him to join them in investigation.”

The factum of compromise has been admitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of complainant-respondents No.2 to 5.

CRM-M No. 36107 of 2019 is also pending for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

For the reasons recorded herein above, interim order dated 31.10.2019 is made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 16, 2020

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

anita

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No