

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRM-M No.45174 of 2019
Date of Decision: 21.1.2020**

BUDH RAM @ PARVEEN

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr.Chander Pal Tiwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Parveen Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant.;

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 347 dated 3.10.2018, registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, by referring to order dated 13.9.2019, contends that wife and son of the main accused i.e. alleged P.C.Garg have been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M No. 34584 of 2019.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he got introduced the complainant with P.C.Garg and thereafter, he was not privy to any transaction between them.

On 7.11.2019, following order was passed by this

Court:-

“Contends that concededly the petitioner was working with the complainant and he has not received any payment, in the present case. Also contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel will verify the pendency of other criminal cases against the petitioner and the factum of receipt of payment by the co-accused.

On request of learned State counsel, adjourned to 21.01.2020.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jitender Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits

of this case, interim order dated 7.11.2019 is made absolute.
However, the petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 21, 2020

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

anita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No