

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44834 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:07.02.2020

Amrit Singh @ Amrit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Amrit Singh Kang, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, DAG, Punjab
for the State.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.114 dated 12.10.2017, under Sections 307, 482, 115, 120-B IPC, Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station, Haryana, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the allegations as contained in the FIR, were that the petitioner was driving the car alongwith three other co-accused and from his possession 250 gms of intoxicant power was recovered . He has further submitted that false allegations have been made in the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that there were 10 accused in the present case and 09 have been granted bail, including the 02 accused, who were sitting in the car.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official assisting him, has not disputed the fact that 09 accused have already been granted bail. He has stated that charges were framed on 18.05.2018 and that out of total 29 witnesses none has been examined.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the present case, charges were framed long back on 18.05.2018 and none of the witnesses has been examined so far and also that all the other 09 accused have been granted bail in the present case. Therefore, I deem it fit to admit the petitioner to regular bail.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant only for the purpose of decision of present petition.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

07.02.2020
sk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No