

RSA- 1717 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 5.2.2020

M/s Chet Ram Lalit Kumar and another

---Appellants

versus

Darshana Rani (now deceased) through Chaman Lal (now deceased)
through their Lrs

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. B.D.Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants

Rekha Mittal, J.

Challenge in the present appeal has been directed against concurrent findings recorded by the courts whereby suit for recovery of Rs. 1,00,000/- on the basis of pronote dated 9.12.2009 purported to be executed by Lalit Kumar proprietor of M/s Chet Ram Lalit Kumar was decreed by the trial court and findings of the trial court were affirmed in appeal.

Perusal of the judgments would reveal that appellants have not denied signatures of Lalit Kumar on the pronote but a plea was raised that his signatures were obtained on a blank proforma.

Counsel for the appellants has failed to point out any materials on record that has been ignored by the courts while deciding liability of appellants to pay suit amount on the basis of pronote, admittedly signed by Lalit Kumar. In this view of the matter, I do not find an error much less perversity in consistent findings that would call for intervention.

For the foregoing reasons, finding no merit, the appeal fails and is accordingly dismissed in limine.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

5.2.2020

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No