

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

101

**CM-184-188-C-2018 in/and
RSA-112-2018 (O & M)**

Date of Decision: March 02, 2020

SUTANTER PAL KAUR

.....APPELLANT

VERSUS

RANJIT KAUR

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Manjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

A Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.02.2020 had recorded that for the fifth date in succession, a request for an adjournment has been made. The matter was adjourned by recording that if the appeal is not argued on the adjourned date, the appeal shall stand dismissed in default with no further order required to be passed in that regard. The order dated 17.02.2020 has been reproduced as under:-

“For the fifth date in succession a request for an adjournment has been made. However, it being almost 4:00 P.M. the request is not declined. The matter is adjourned to 02.03.2020.

It is made clear that if the appeal is not argued on that date also, it shall stand dismissed in default, with no further order required to be passed in that regard.”

A request has again been made on the ground that Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate, who is to argue the case is in personal difficulty. The matter was passed over and on the second call another request for adjournment has been made which cannot be accepted in view of the order dated 17.02.2020.

Consequently, in view of the order dated 17.02.2020 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, the appeal is dismissed in default.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

March 02, 2020
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No