

250+105.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-391-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.01.2020

AJAY KUMAR

... Petitioner

versus

NEETU KUMARI & ANR

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Petitioner in person.

Ms. Nupur Choudhary, Advocate, for
Mr. Hitesh Malik, Advocate,
for the respondents.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Through the present revision petition, the petitioner-husband has challenged the order dated 14.10.2016 passed by learned District Judge, Family Court, Sonipat, whereby on an application filed by the respondents under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for grant of maintenance, he has been directed to pay maintenance of Rs.9,000/- per month i.e. Rs.5,000/- per month to respondent No.1-wife and Rs.4,000/- per month to respondent No.2, from the date of filing of such application.

At this stage, counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has submitted that in proceedings filed at the instance of respondents, she (wife) has made a statement before the Family Court on 26.08.2019 that she does not want to claim the decretal amount from the petitioner/judgment debtor in the execution petition filed by her. Further, she has no objection if the petitioner is released from District Jail, Sonipat and her execution

petition be dismissed as fully satisfied upto 12.11.2016. Counsel further states that she has the instructions to state that for the period after 12.11.2016, the respondent-wife will not press for the maintenance against the petitioner.

In view of the above statement of counsel for the respondents which is further based on the instructions of respondent No.1, the present revision petition is hereby rendered infructuous and dismissed as such.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

21.01.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No